

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandrin, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,217	06/04/2007	Paivi Maatta	0696-0246PUS1	6692
2592 7590 97/66/2011 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAMINER	
			MCNALLY, DANIEL	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1747	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,217	MAATTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIEL MCNALLY	1747		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. \(\times\) The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS

3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- non-anowable claim(s).

 Note: The purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed: ____ Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.8.11-14 and 16-19.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3, 5-7, 9, 10,

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFF 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

 11.

 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance

because: See Continuation Sheet.

- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other:

/Richard Crispino/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1747 /DANIEL McNALLY/ Examiner, Art Unit 1747 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claim 1 requires the new limitation on the laser beam having a wavelength not greater than 1500nm, which was previously not considered. The new limitation requires further search and consideration. New claim 20 also further limits the laser beam wavelength and requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments directed toward the previously clied prior art's teaching of a wavelength not greater than 1500mn is moot, as the proposed amendment is not entered. Because the claims are in the previously submitted form, they are rejected for the same reasons expressed in the Final Office action mailed 1/5/2011.

Applicant further argues there is not rationale and/or reasonable expectation of success to combine the teachings of Kammler and Dries. Kammler discloses using a laser source to produce a laser beam that is transmitted through a paperboard to a plastic coating during welding process. Dries teaches a laser source that produces a laser beam that is suitable for laser welding. The combination of Kammler and Dries is nothing more than the predictable use of a prior art element (Dries' laser source) for its Intended function (laser weld polymeric material).